



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,967	09/25/2003	Weston Wilhour	7984-A03-003	7022

33771 7590 11/28/2005

PAUL D. BIANCO: FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS,
GUTMAN, BONGINI, & BIANCO P.L.
21355 EAST DIXIE HIGHWAY
SUITE 115
MIAMI, FL 33180

EXAMINER

HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3635

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/670,967

Applicant(s)

WILLOUR, WESTON

Examiner

Yvonne M. Horton

Art Unit

3635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 2 and 12-20 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1,3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #4,577,444 to SANDERSON in view of WILSON. SANDERSON discloses the use of a post framing system including a plurality of support posts (12) and a plurality of low/high wall sections (20,30)/(20,34). SANDERSON discloses the basic claimed framing system except for the support posts including upper, lower and middle ply members and except for the specifics of the materials used to form the vertical posts. Although SANDERSON is silent in regard to the material used to form his support posts, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material suitable for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. For instance, SANDERSON discloses the use of decorative sheets similar to plywood that are laminated. Thus, it would be obvious to one having skill in the art to also use vertical posts made from a similar material in order to create a structure that is uniform and aesthetically pleasing. As far as the upper, lower and middle ply members, WILSON does not teach ply members per se', he does; however, teach the use of support posts (12) having upper/lower ply members (14), and middle ply members (12a). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the

Art Unit: 3635

structure of SANDERSON with the support posts of WILSON in order to provide the structure with added strength and rigidity. Support posts made from multiple layers provide structure with added strength and are less likely to break as a result of pressure applied thereto. Regarding claim 3, SANDERSON further includes a skirt assembly including an inner skirt (20) and an outer skirt (138); wherein the inner skirt (20) includes a plurality of notches (22). In reference to claim 4, the interior surfaces of the support posts, low walls, and high wall sections are flush with an exterior surface of the support posts, low walls, and high walls.

Claims 5-11 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #4,577,444 to SANDERSON in view of US Patent #6,427,407 to WILSON. Regarding claim 5 and 8, SANDERSON discloses the basic claimed post framing system, as disclosed above, except for the inclusion of jamb members. WILSON teaches that it is known in the art to provide a post framing system with jambs formed by members (28,30) and a plurality of horizontal members (as at 18b) therebetween. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the system of SANDERSON with the jamb members of WILSON in order to properly place the system within an opening in the building. In reference to claims 6 and 9, WILSON teaches the use of a corner wall (20) and angle brace (38). Regarding claims 7 and 10, the low (30) and high (34) walls of SANDERSON are end walls. In reference to claim 11, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to select a known wall height or length suitable for the use intended as an obvious matter of design choice. For instance, if the post framing system were being used to

Art Unit: 3635

form a modular wall library wall dimensions of varying height and lengths would be appropriate in order to accommodate different types of books therein.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is allowed and claims 12-20 remain as being allowed.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6845. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl D. Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Yvonne M. Horton
Examiner
Art Unit 3635
11/21/05